UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/826,786 | 04/05/2001 | Patrick Montoya | 10954-003 | 4084 | |
| 25006 7590 05/11/2007 GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE,ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C PO BOX 7021 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | LOFTIS, JOHNNA RONEE | | |
| TROY, MI 480 | 007-7021 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 3623 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/11/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/826,786 | MONTOYA, PATRICK | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit . | | |
| Johnna R. Loftis | 3623 | | |

| , | Johnna R. Loftis | 3623 | Į. |
|---|--|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | lress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>26 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complianc time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, a cice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply r | affidavit, or other evider o compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ter than SIX MONTHS from the mail | ing date of the final rejecti | ion. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR tension and the corresponding amout hortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing of the statutory period for reply or the mailing of the mai | .136(a) and the appropriant of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), | to avoid dismissal of th | hs of the date of ne appeal. Since |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or | nsideration and/or search (see N w); | OTE below); | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1) | - | ejected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | ` ** | Compliant Amendment | (PTOL-324) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | ompliant Amendment | (I 10L-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | e, timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7 9 10 12-31. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | will be entered and an e | explanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | • | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under app | eal and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after | entry is below or attack | hed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application | in condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | A MA | - |
| | • | TARIQ R. HAFIZ | |
| | | RVISORY PATENT EXAI CHNOLOGY CENTER 30 | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/826,786

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the claims require further consideration since the amendments narrow the scope of the claimed invention.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendments to the claims narrow the scope of the claimed ivention thereby requiring further consideration and/or search.